Prevalence of Absence of the Palmaris Longus Muscle among Medical Students of An-Najah National University: A Cross-Sectional Study from Palestine

Malik Sabouba
dr_msabouba@najah.edu

Rula Ab-Alwafa

Dema Mhesin

Enas Zidan

Feras Zorba

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://pmpj.najah.edu/journal

Recommended Citation
Sabouba, Malik; Ab-Alwafa, Rula; Mhesin, Dema; Zidan, Enas; Zorba, Feras; and Qaddumi, Jamal (2021) "Prevalence of Absence of the Palmaris Longus Muscle among Medical Students of An-Najah National University: A Cross-Sectional Study from Palestine," Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal: Vol. 7: Iss. 1, Article 9.
Available at: https://pmpj.najah.edu/journal/vol7/iss1/9

This Research article is brought to you for free and open access by Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal by an authorized editor of Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal. For more information, please contact mqneibi@najah.edu.
Prevalence of Absence of the Palmaris Longus Muscle among Medical Students of An-Najah National University: A Cross-Sectional Study from Palestine

Authors
Malik Sabouba, Rula Ab-Alwafa, Dema Mhesin, Enas Zidan, Feras Zorba, and Jamal Qaddumi

This research article is available in Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal: https://pmpj.najah.edu/journal/vol7/iss1/9
Prevalence of Absence of the Palmaris Longus Muscle among Medical Students of An-Najah National University: A Cross-Sectional Study from Palestine†

Malik Sabouba 1*; Rula Ab-Alwafa 2; Dema Mhesin 2; Enas Zidan 2; Feras Zorba 3 & Jamal Qaddumi 3

1 Division of Anatomy, Biochemistry, and Genetics, Medical students at the faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine; 2Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine; 3Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

*Corresponding author: dr_msabouba@najah.edu

Received: (10/2/2019), Accepted: (7/3/2021)

Abstract

The Palmaris Longus (PL) is a slender; spindle shaped elongated muscle which is visible as a small tendon between other superficial muscles of the forearm, i.e., pronator teres, flexor carpi radialis and the flexor carpi ulnaris. The PL muscle is a highly variable muscle. However, the most common variation is its absence, which is more commonly seen on the left side. There is a wide scope of variation in the prevalence of absence of this muscle in different racial groups, which varies from 3.1% in some populations up to 63.9% in others. This study aims at finding out the prevalence of absence of the PL muscle among Palestinian population. To determine the prevalence of the absence of PL, a cross-sectional design utilizing the Schaeffer's test in a sample of 300 medical students at An-Najah National University with ages ranging between 18-22 years old was used. The overall prevalence of absence of PL muscle in our sample subjects was found to be 32%. Prevalence of bilateral absence was found to be 15.7 %, while the prevalence of unilateral absence of PL was found to be 16.3%. Unilateral absence of the PL muscle on the left side was 8.7 %, which was found to be a little more than that of the right side 7.7% among our 300 subjects. A significant gender-related difference in the overall prevalence of absence of PL on one or both sides, as well as in the prevalence of bilateral absence of muscle and in the prevalence of left-sided absence of muscle where all three indicators were more common in females. We recommend that our findings as well as the findings of other clinical studies be corroborated by conducting dissection studies. This is especially important in the absence of any accurate measurement of the specificity and sensitivity of these tests, while at the same time producing such a wide range of variation in their results in different populations.
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INTRODUCTION

The PL is a highly variable slender, spindle shaped elongated muscle which is visible as a small tendon between other superficial muscles of the forearm, i.e., pronator teres, flexor carpi radialis and the flexor carpi ulnaris [1–4]. It takes origin from the medial epicondyle of the humerus and inserts superficial to the flexor retinaculum at the apex of the palmar aponeurosis. Frequently, it sends a tendinous slip to the short muscles of the thumb.

It is a weak flexor of the wrist and forearm and helps tighten the palmar aponeurosis which serves grip function. However, its function as a flexor muscle is not very significant, hence it is often used surgically as a graft in case of tendon grafting, which makes its presence or absence an important piece of information for hand surgeons. It is innervated by the median nerve C7, C8.

Variation: The PL muscle is a highly variable muscle. There are variations related to its form, where it may be tendinous above
and muscular below [1,2]; or it may be muscular in the center with a tendon above and below; or it may present as two muscular bundles with a central tendon. However, the most common variation is its absence, which is more common on the left side[3] There is a wide scope of variation in the prevalence of absence of this muscle in different racial groups, which varies from 3.1% in some populations [5] up to 63.9% in others [6]. This is especially relevant in the light of the scarcity of similar data in Arab populations where only a few such studies have been done in Egyptian [7], Bahraini [8], and Saudi populations [9,10].

This study aims at finding out the prevalence of absence of the PL muscle in Palestinian population. It is important for us to determine this information in the light of the lack of any similar study in Palestinian population so far. It will be interesting to make a comparison between our findings and the findings of similar studies in other Arab populations and other populations worldwide.

METHODS

To determine the prevalence of the absence of PL, a sample of 300 medical students of An-Najah National University with ages ranging from 18-22 years old. The following formula is used for calculating the adequate sample size:

\[ n = \frac{Z^2 \cdot P(1-P)}{d^2} \]

Where \( n \) is the sample size, \( Z \) is the statistic corresponding to the level of confidence, \( P \) is the expected prevalence (that can be obtained from the same study or a pilot study conducted by the researchers), and \( d \) is the precision (corresponding to the effect size).

The sample consisted of 150 male and 150 female students who were randomly chosen from An-Najah Medical College students. To identify the absence of the PL muscle, the investigators used the Schaeffer's test, which is done by opposing the thumb to the little finger while flexing the wrist at the same time (Figure 1). Results were considered significant when P-value was less than 0.05.

Figure (1): Schaeffer’s test (picture taken by the author).

RESULTS

Our sample consisted of 300 randomly chosen medical students of An-Najah National University aged between 19-22 years old. The research team examined 150 male students and 150 female students for the presence or absence of the Palmaris Longus muscle in both hands right and left. Table 1 summarizes our findings.

Table (1): Frequencies of absence of PLM in males and females in our study sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males (n=150)</th>
<th>Females (n=150)</th>
<th>P Value is significant when less than 0.05</th>
<th>Complete sample subjects N=300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence of PL on one or both sides</td>
<td>N=36 24%</td>
<td>N=60 40%</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>N=96 32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of PL on both sides</td>
<td>N=16 10.7%</td>
<td>N=31 20.7%</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>N=47 15.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The prevalence of absence of PL muscle (both bilateral and unilateral) in our sample of 300 people was found to be 32%. However, the prevalence of bilateral absence was almost equal to the unilateral absence of the muscle, 15.7 vs. 16.3, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absence of PL on one side only</th>
<th>Males (n=150)</th>
<th>Females (n=150)</th>
<th>P Value is significant when less than 0.05</th>
<th>Complete sample subjects N=300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=20 13.3%</td>
<td>N=29 19.3%</td>
<td>P &gt;0.05</td>
<td>N=49 16.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of PL on the right side only</td>
<td>N=12 8%</td>
<td>N=11 7.3%</td>
<td>P &gt;0.05</td>
<td>N=23 7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence of PL on the left side only</td>
<td>N=8 5.3%</td>
<td>N=18 12%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>N=26 8.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among our sample subjects who had unilateral absence of muscle, the right side was largely affected as frequently as the left side, 7.7 vs. 8.7, respectively (Figure 2).

**Figure (2):** Distribution of PLM status among the total sample population (male and female).

When we looked at the results in the female subjects examined (Figure 3), we found three main significant differences compared to males where the P value was less than 0.05. These differences are in the overall prevalence of absence of muscle both unilaterally and bilaterally, where in females this was 40% while in males it was only 24%. The other significant difference was in the greater prevalence of absence of muscle on the left side of unilaterally affected females compared to their male counterparts where the prevalence was found to be 12% in females while only 5.3% in their male counterparts (Table 1).
The third statistically significant difference was the prevalence of bilateral absence of muscle among females compared to males, which was 20.7% and 10.7%, respectively (Figure 4). Figure 5 compares the findings in males and females.

**Figure (3):** Distribution of PLM status among Females.

**Figure (4):** Distribution of PLM status among males.
DISCUSSION

The overall prevalence of absence of PL muscle in our sample subjects of 32% is a significant finding to keep in mind for orthopedic and hand surgeons concerned about tendon transplant and tendon grafting. This finding is well above the mean of the percentages of absence of PL in many nations and races as seen in Table 2.

The prevalence of absence of PL in the sample was not much different from that found in some other Arab studies such as Bahrainis 36.8% [8], and Saudis 24.5% [9]. However, an Egyptian study found out a remarkably higher prevalence of this event which was around 50.8% [7]. One study found that the pooled ratio of prevalence in Middle Eastern population was 41.7% while in Turkey it was found to be 34.13% [11]. Worldwide, the prevalence of absence of PLM varies from as low as 1.5% to as high as 63.9% [5], but most of the values are less than 30% as shown in Table 2. It can be safely said that the prevalence of absence of PLM among Palestinian population is among the highest rates in the world although it is far from being the highest.

Table 2: Variation in the prevalence of absence of PL between several countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percent of absence of PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>American Africans</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>American Asians</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>East Africa</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>50.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>3.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td>12.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>11.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>37.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bilateral absence in our study was found to be 15.7% which was not very far from the case in Bahraini population which was 19% [8] but remarkably higher than that of Saudi population which was 7.75% in one study [9] and 6.7% in another [12].
The prevalence of unilateral absence of PL on the left side 8.7% was found to be a little more than that of the right side 7.7% among our 300 subjects. Some other studies found that the left side was more often affected than the right side [6,8,9,13–18]; while other studies found that right-sided absence of PL was commoner than left-sided one [12,19,20], while still others found that their prevalence was equal [21,22].

This study found a significant gender-related difference in the overall prevalence of absence of PL on one or both sides, as well as in the prevalence of bilateral absence of muscle and in the prevalence of left-sided absence of muscle where all three indicators were more common in females. There are several studies which found gender-related differences in the overall prevalence of the absence of PL [7,8,10,15–17,19,21,23]. Most of these found that the prevalence of absence of PL was more common in females, although in many instances this was not statistically significant, but having been reported in many different studies gives it an extra credit in my opinion. However, very few studies reported that it was more common in males [5,19]. On the other hand, some studies found no such differences [13,14,24]. However, the results of this study conform to the current knowledge that PL muscle absence is more common in women and on the left side [5]. Our result of the overall prevalence in females which equals 40% is almost identical to the result of a Saudi study done on females exclusively which was 40.5% [25].

It might be considered a limitation of our study that we used only the Schaeffër’s test as an identification tool for the presence or absence of PL muscle which includes opposition of the thumb while at the same time flexing the wrist. Almost all other studies using clinical methods (rather than dissection) started the investigation by using this clinical test and then verified their positive results by using other clinical tests in conjunction with this method, such as Mishra’s test I and II, Pushpakumar test, and Thompson test [7,8,19] A much smaller number of other studies did use this method alone as we did [10,13,20].

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, it seems from the results of this study that the overall prevalence of the absence of PL muscle in our samples falls within the range of prevalences found in other populations of the Middle East region. Our study has also confirmed the presence of significant gender differences in the prevalence of both bilateral and unilateral absence of PL muscle. We recommend that our findings as well as the findings of other clinical studies be corroborated by conducting dissection studies. This is especially important in the absence of any accurate measurement of the specificity and sensitivity of these tests, while at the same producing such a wide range of variation in their results in different groups of populations.
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